

Project Title: Student Rating of Teaching - Spring 2021

Courses Audience: **10** Responses Received: **7** Response Ratio: **70.0%** 

#### **Report Comments**

Office of Measurement Services Phone: 612.626.0006 Fax: 612.624.1336 879 29th Ave. S.E. Room 103 Minneapolis, MN 55414 http://oms.umn.edu eval@umn.edu

©2021 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved. The University of Minnesota is an equal opportunity educator and employer.



Creation Date: Thursday, June 10, 2021

The University is committed to monitoring and improving students' educational experiences. Student Ratings of Teaching (SRT) help to ensure that the student voice is present in fulfilling this mission. We encourage all instructors to incorporate student feedback into your ongoing efforts to improve your teaching and your courses.

#### How SRT Results may be Used

Evaluations of teaching provide information intended to help improve teaching, and may also be available to assist students in course selection and/or to inform administrative decisions on salary, tenure, and promotion. Specific use of SRT results may vary by campus and/or college as described in relevant university or unit policies.

#### Resources for Improving Instruction

Tools and tips for improving course instruction can be found on the OMS website (oms.umn.edu/srt), under the faculty section.

Among the available resources is a guide for connecting your teaching practices with the SRT. This guide was created by the Center for Educational Innovation (cei.umn.edu) and provides suggestions for improving instructional practices in relation to SRT items.


#### About this Report

This report contains all ratings provided by the students in your courses who submitted SRT responses. Mean (average) scores are reported as well as the frequency of how often each response was given for each item. Results are provided in the following order:

- 1. Score graph and frequency graphs for instructor items
- 2. Score graph and frequency graphs for course items
- 3. Comments regarding instructor
- Comments regarding course

For additional information about evaluation of teaching, please reference the Evaluation of Teaching policy for your campus. The policy for Twin Cities, Crookston, Morris, and Rochester can be found here: http://policy.umn.edu/education/teachingevaluation.

Sincerely, Rebecca Ropers Vice Provost for Faculty and Academic Affairs



1. The instructor was well prepared for class.	2. The instructor presented the subject matter clearly.
6 Strongly Agree (5) 5 Agree (1) 4 Somewhat Agree (0) 3 Somewhat Disagree (0) 2 Disagree (0) 1 Strongly Disagree (0) [ Total (6) ]	6 Strongly Agree (6) 5 Agree (0) 4 Somewhat Agree (0) 3 Somewhat Disagree (0) 2 Disagree (0) 1 Strongly Disagree (0) [ Total (6)]
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Response Count 6	Response Count 6
Mean 5.83	Mean 6.00
Median 6.00	Median 6.00
Standard Deviation 0.41	Standard Deviation 0.00
3. Interactions with the instructor helped me learn.	4. The instructor treated me with respect.
6 Strongly Agree (5) 5 Agree (0) - 0.00% 4 Somewhat Agree (1) 3 Somewhat Disagree (0) - 0.00% 2 Disagree (0) - 0.00% 1 Strongly Disagree (0) - 0.00%	6 Strongly Agree (6) 5 Agree (0) - 0.00% 4 Somewhat Agree (0) - 0.00% 3 Somewhat Disagree (0) - 0.00% 2 Disagree (0) - 0.00% 1 Strongly Disagree (0) - 0.00%
[ Total (6) ]	[ Total (6) ]
[ Total (6) ] 0 50% 100%	[ Total (6) ] 0 50% 100%
[ Total (6) ] 0 50% 100% Statistics Value	[ Total (6) ] 0 50% 100% Statistics Value
[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6	[ Total (6) ] 0 50% 100%   Statistics Value   Response Count 6
[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean5.67	[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean6.00
[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean5.67Median6.00	[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean6.00Median6.00
[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean5.67	[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean6.00
[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean5.67Median6.00Standard Deviation0.825. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.	[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean6.00Median6.00Standard Deviation0.006. I would recommend this instructor to other students.
[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean5.67Median6.00Standard Deviation0.825. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.66.67%6 Strongly Agree (4)66.67%5 Agree (2)33.33%4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%3 Somewhat Disagree (0)0.00%2 Disagree (0)0.00%1 Strongly Disagree (0)0.00%	[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean6.00Median6.00Standard Deviation0.006. I would recommend this instructor to other students.6 Strongly Agree (5)2000%5 Agree (1)16.67%4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%3 Somewhat Disagree (0)0.00%2 Disagree (0)0.00%1 Strongly Disagree (0)0.00%
[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean5.67Median6.00Standard Deviation0.825. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.66.67%6 Strongly Agree (4)66.67%5 Agree (2)33.33%4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%3 Somewhat Disagree (0)0.00%2 Disagree (0)0.00%	[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean6.00Median6.00Standard Deviation0.006. I would recommend this instructor to other students.6 Strongly Agree (5)88.33%5 Agree (1)16.67%4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%3 Somewhat Disagree (0)0.00%2 Disagree (0)0.00%
[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean5.67Median6.00Standard Deviation0.825. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.66.67%6 Strongly Agree (4)66.67%5 Agree (2)33.33%4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%2 Disagree (0)0.00%1 Strongly Disagree (0)0.00%[ Total (6) ]0.00%	[Total (6)]   0   50%   100%     Statistics   Value     Response Count   6     Mean   6.00     Median   6.00     Standard Deviation   0.00     6. I would recommend this instructor to other students.     6 Strongly Agree (5)   2000%     5 Agree (1)   16.67%     4 Somewhat Agree (0)   0.00%     2 Disagree (0)   0.00%     1 Strongly Disagree (0)   0.00%     [ Total (6)]   0.00%
[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean5.67Median6.00Standard Deviation0.825. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.66.67%6 Strongly Agree (4)66.67%5 Agree (2)33.33%4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%2 Disagree (0)0.00%1 Strongly Disagree (0)0.00%050%1 O0%	[Total (6)]   0   50%   100%     Statistics   Value     Response Count   6     Mean   6.00     Median   6.00     Standard Deviation   0.00     6. I would recommend this instructor to other students.     6 Strongly Agree (5)   9     5 Agree (1)   16.67%     4 Somewhat Agree (0)   0.00%     2 Disagree (0)   0.00%     1 Strongly Disagree (0)   0.00%     [ Total (6) ]   0     0   50%
[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean5.67Median6.00Standard Deviation0.825. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.66.67%6 Strongly Agree (4)66.67%5 Agree (2)33.33%4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%2 Disagree (0)0.00%1 Strongly Disagree (0)0.00%050%5 StatisticsValue	[Total (6)]   0   50%   100%     Statistics   Value     Response Count   6     Mean   6.00     Median   6.00     Standard Deviation   0.00     6. I would recommend this instructor to other students.     6 Strongly Agree (5)   83336     5 Agree (1)   16.67%     4 Somewhat Agree (0)   0.00%     2 Disagree (0)   0.00%     1 Strongly Disagree (0)   0.00%     [ Total (6) ]   0     0   50%     Statistics   Value
[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean5.67Median6.00Standard Deviation0.825. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.66.67%6 Strongly Agree (4)66.67%5 Agree (2)33.33%4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%2 Disagree (0)0.00%1 Strongly Disagree (0)0.00%050%1 StatisticsValueResponse Count6	[ Total (6) ]050%100%StatisticsValueResponse Count6Mean6.00Median6.00Standard Deviation0.006. I would recommend this instructor to other students.6 Strongly Agree (5)83333445 Agree (1)16.67%4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%2 Disagree (0)0.00%1 Strongly Disagree (0)0.00%050%1 StatisticsValueResponse Count6



1. I have a deeper understanding of the subject matter of this course.	as a result	2. My interest in the subject matter was stimulated by	this course.	
6 Strongly Agree (7)   0.00%     5 Agree (0)   0.00%     4 Somewhat Agree (0)   0.00%     3 Somewhat Disagree (0)   0.00%     2 Disagree (0)   0.00%     1 Strongly Disagree (0)   0.00%     [ Total (7) ]   0	100%	6 Strongly Agree (6) 5 Agree (1) 4 Somewhat Agree (0) 3 Somewhat Disagree (0) 2 Disagree (0) 1 Strongly Disagree (0) [ Total (7)] 0 50%	100%	
Statistics	Value	Statistics	Value	
Mean	6.00	Mean	5.86	
Median	6.00	Median	6.00	
Standard Deviation	0.00	Standard Deviation	0.38	
			0.50	
3. Instructional technology employed in this course was	s effective.	4. The activities in this course supported my learning.		
6 Strongly Agree (5)   5 Agree (1)   14.29%     4 Somewhat Agree (1)   14.29%     3 Somewhat Disagree (0)   0.00%     2 Disagree (0)   0.00%     1 Strongly Disagree (0)   0.00%     [ Total (7) ]   0	100%	6 Strongly Agree (6) 5 Agree (1) 4 Somewhat Agree (0) 2 Disagree (0) 1 Strongly Disagree (0) [ Total (7)] 0 50%	100%	
Statistics	Value	Statistics	Value	
Mean	5.57	Mean	5.86	
Median	6.00	Median 6.00		
Standard Deviation	0.79	Standard Deviation 0.38		
5. The amount of effort needed to be successful in this reasonable.	course is	6. The grading standards for this course were clear.		
6 Strongly Agree (5) 5 Agree (2) 4 Somewhat Agree (0) 3 Somewhat Disagree (0) 2 Disagree (0) 1 Strongly Disagree (0) [ Total (7)]		6 Strongly Agree (6) 5 Agree (1) 4 Somewhat Agree (0) 3 Somewhat Disagree (0) 2 Disagree (0) 1 Strongly Disagree (0) [ Total (7)]		
0 50%	100%	0 50%	100%	
Statistics	Value	Statistics	Value	
	E 71	Mean	5.86	
Mean	5.71	Wear	5.00	
Mean Median	6.00	Median	6.00	

7. I would recommend this cou	irse to o	ther stu	idents.		
6 Strongly Agree (6) 5 Agree (1) 4 Somewhat Agree (0) 3 Somewhat Disagree (0) 2 Disagree (0) 1 Strongly Disagree (0) [ Total (7) ]	0.00% 0.00%	.29%	2%	96.1	100%
Statistics					Value
Mean					5.86
Median					6.00
Standard Deviation					0.38

Comments
Friendly
He was able to talk me through comms very well
Helped provide feedback with code and some guidelines to help get started on programming
He engaged with us and checked to see where we were at. He also had a good balance of helping but also challenging us to figure out the solution. He was very honest about things with us from labs to projects.
N/A
Very helpful throughout the semester

## What suggestions do you have for improving the course?

#### Comments

Tell us requirements for tests start of semester

more materials from the kit

More information on code, possibly more on functions

My biggest issue with this course was that there was a disconnect with where lectures were at and what we were doing in the lab following spring break which made things more confusing and difficult.

More clear lab manuals. They need a proof read and an edit

N/A